

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/885,198	06/20/2001	Augustin T. Chen	393325	5726	
75	590 04/18/2003				
Kenneth D. Goetz			EXAMINER		
Lathrop & Gage, LC Suite 2800			SASTRI, SATYA B		
2345 Grand Boulevard Kansas City, MI 64108			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1713		
			DATE MAILED: 04/18/2003	DATE MAILED: 04/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				DA _			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/885,198	CHEN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		Satya B Sastri	1713				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre)ss			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 17 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir pry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOV by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comn BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	nunication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>14 March 2003</u> .	·				
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)	☐ This action is non-final.					
3)☐ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition fo closed in accordance with the practice on of Claims			nerits is			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the app	olication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v	withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>22,24,27 and 29</u> is/are allowed	d.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-14,16-21,23,25,26,28,30 and 31</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and 15</u> is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the E						
10)[]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Applicant may not request that any objecti						
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed or		lisapproved by the Examiner.				
40\□∶	If approved, corrected drawings are require	, •					
	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.					
_	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	r foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		•				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation from the attached detailed Office action for the attached detailed Det	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		.ge			
14)⊠ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for c	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional ap	plication).			
) The translation of the foreign languacknowledgment is made of a claim for a	•					
Attachmen	t(s)	. •					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	948) 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Informal Patent Application (PTO-15				
S Patent and T	adamad Office			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to the Amendment filed on March 14, 2003. Claims 1-21 have been amended. Claim 22 has been added to place original claim 5 in an independent form. Claim 23 has been added as independent form of original claim 11, and claims 24-26 are added to rewrite the original multiple dependent claim 11 in independent form. Claim 27 has been added as independent form of original claim 15 and claims 28-31 are added to rewrite original multiple dependent claim 15. Claims 1-31 are now pending.

In view of the amendment, objection to the use of the phrase "non-free radically polymerizable acid" is withdrawn, rejection of *claims 1-4, 6-14, 16-20* is sustained. Upon further reviewing of the application, indication of allowability of *claims 11 and 21* is withdrawn.

Claim Objections

Subject matter of claims 5 and 15 are written in an independent form as claims 22 and
 Therefore, claims 5 and 15 need to be canceled. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 1713

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 3

4. Claims 1-4, 6-10, 12-14 and 16-21, 25, 26, 28, 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Morris et al. (US 5,514,122).

Morris et al. disclose pressure-sensitive adhesive compositions comprising matrix or binder and polymeric microspheres. The polymeric microspheres are based on polymerizable monomers selected from the group of alkyl acrylate esters, alkyl methacrylate esters etc. (column 4, lines 10-11). The matrix or binder is based on free radically polymerizable acrylate such as isooctyl acrylate, isononyl acrylate, n-butyl acrylate, hexyl acrylate etc. (column 6, lines 42-53). The disclosure further includes that for obtaining superior cohesive strengths, the adhesive matrix may be crosslinked with multiacrylates (column 7, lines 46-51). The adhesive may comprise 1 to 60 parts of a water dispersible acrylate microsphere and 99 to 40 parts of aqueous latex as adhesive matrix (column 15, lines 39-58, claim 1). Additionally, in working examples 1-7 in column 10 for adhesive preparation, an aqueous microsphere suspension of 25% solids by weight or 49% solids by weight is blended with latex adhesive in amounts appropriate to provide the desired weight % of microspheres on a dry basis. In this prior art, the weight ratio, on a solids basis, of microspheres to crosslinked acrylate polymer ranges from about 0.04:1 to about 2:1 (column 11, Table I). As for the claim preamble that includes "an aqueous adhesive composition for use in transfer coating the adhesive composition on a face stock material", it may be viewed as the purpose or intended use of the invention and not as a limitation. Rowe v. Dror, 112 F.3d 473, 478, 42 USPQ 2d, 1550, 1553 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Thus, *claim 1* is anticipated by Morris et al.

Art Unit: 1713

In regard to *claims 12 and 21*, Morris et al. disclose a disposable absorbent article which comprises a liquid permeable cover layer, an absorbent layer and a liquid impermeable backing layer and a linerless adhesive fastening region on at least one face of said backing layer comprising the adhesive composition (column 15, lines 40-59, claim 1). The prior art final article comprises all essential elements included in the composition and may not depend of how the coating process is accomplished (*claim 12*) and must inherently have a peel force within the claimed range (*claims 21, 28*).

In regard to *claim 2*, Morris et al. disclose that the adhesive may comprise 1 to 60 parts of a water dispersible acrylate microsphere and 99 to 40 parts of aqueous latex as adhesive matrix (column 15, lines 39-58, claim 1). Additionally, in working examples 1-7 in column 10 for adhesive preparation, an aqueous microsphere suspension of 25% solids by weight or 49% solids by weight are blended with latex adhesive in amounts appropriate to provide the desired weight % of microspheres on a dry basis.

In regard to *claims 3 and 13*, Morris et al. disclose that the weight ratio, on a solids basis, of microspheres to crosslinked acrylate polymer ranges from about 0.04:1 to about 2:1 (column 11, Table I).

In regard to *claims 4 and 14*, Morris et al. also include the microsphere composition for the preparation of solid microspheres by a one-step emulsification (column 4, lines 58-65).

Art Unit: 1713

In regard to *claims 6 and 16*, Morris et al. further disclose the microsphere composition for the preparation of hollow microspheres (column 4, line 47-55).

In regard to *claims 7, 17, 25 and 30*, Morris et al. further include that the T_g of the acrylate adhesive matrix is less than 0° C and preferably, less than -10° C (column 6, lines 49-50).

In regard to *claims 8 and 18*, Morris et al. further disclose other useful materials that can be blended into adhesive matrix binders that include tackifiers, stabilizers and rheological modifiers (column 7, lines 64-67 and column 8, line 1).

In regard to *claims 9, 19 and 26*, Morris et al. further disclose that the pressure-sensitive adhesive properties of he microspheres may be altered by the addition of neutralizing agent (column 6, lines 37-38). The disclosure also includes other useful materials, which may be blended into the adhesive matrix such as foaming agents and rheological modifiers (column 7, line 68 and column 8, line 1). In addition, the latex pressure-sensitive adhesive composition was prepared using a copolymerizable surfactant (column 10, line 47-48).

In regard to *claims 10, 20 and 31*, Morris et al. further disclose that the microspheres comprise at least 70 parts of at least one free radically polymerizable monomer of any acrylate or methacrylate and 0 to 30 parts of at least one polar monomer (column 15, lines 48-53).

Art Unit: 1713

Furthermore, preferred polar monomers listed include mono-olefinic monocarboxylic acids, mono-olefinic dicarboxylic acids and salts thereof (column 3, lines 18-25).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 11 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Morris et al. (US 5,514,122).

The disclosure of Morris et al. is adequately set forth in paragraph 4 and is incorporated herein by reference. Given the apparent identity of the adhesive composition between the present invention and the prior art to Morris et al., a reasonable basis exists to believe that the prior art composition would inherently have dry film peel value of about 0.2 to about 2.5 lbs/in peel force on stainless steel surface. *In re Fitzgerald* 205 USPQ 594 (CAFC), *In re Spada* 15 USPQ 2d

Art Unit: 1713

1655 (fed. Cir. 1990). The burden of proof is shifted to applicants to prove otherwise since USPTO cannot conduct experiments.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. *Claims 22, 24, 27 and 29* are allowable.
- 9. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Claims 22, 24, 27 and 29 are in regard to solid microspheres that are based on polymerization of an alkyl acrylate monomer and a polar free radically polymerizable monomer in the presence of an organic or inorganic acid component.

The present claims are allowable over the closest prior art reference: Morris et al. (US 5,514,122) and Delgado et al. (US 5,578,650).

Morris et al. disclose the composition of hollow and solid microspheres based on an alkyl acrylate monomer, a polar monomer and an optional crosslinking agent. Delgado et al. disclose the composition of hollow and solid miscropheres based on an alkyl acrylate monomer and a non-free radically polymerizable acid without the requirement of a polar comonomer. Neither art teaches solid microspheres based on all three components as disclosed in *claims 22, 24, 27 and 29* of the present invention. Therefore, the present claims are deemed allowable over the closest prior art of record as per said art neither anticipating nor rendering obvious the instantly claimed adhesive composition or an article comprising the adhesive composition. There is no teaching or suggestion or motivation to modify the prior art compositions to include an alkyl acrylate

Art Unit: 1713

monomer, an ionic monomer and a non-free radically polymerizable acid to form solid polymeric

Page 8

microspheres.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for

Allowance."

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Satya Sastri whose telephone number is (703) 305-8490.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Wu can be reached at (703) 308-2450.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the group receptionist at (703) 308-2351.

SATYA SASTRI

April 15, 2003

DAVID W. WU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700